


REAL ASSETS IMPACT INVESTING

INDEX AND BENCHMARK STATISTICS



UPCOMING CHANGE TO CAMBRIDGE ASSOCIATES' VINTAGE YEAR DEFINITION

Beginning with the Q1 2022 performance quarter (released in July), CA's definition of fund vintage year will shift from the legal inception date to the date of fund first cash flow, defined as the year of a fund's first drawdown or capital call from its investors. The use of the date of a fund's first cash flow to determine its vintage year reflects the evolution of best practices and will allow for more consistent comparisons to industry data.

Why is CA making this change?

It is important that we provide our clients (and the industry) with a standardized dataset that can be appropriately compared against data sets and returns produced by other industry participants (limited partners, peer groups, etc.). While GIPS Standards recognize both the date of first cash flow (FCF) and legal inception date as acceptable vintage year definitions, the vast majority of industry participants today prefer the FCF definition.

What are the impacts of this change?

Some funds will move from one vintage year to another and, as funds move, the composition of vintage year groups of funds change. An individual fund's return is not impacted by this change, but changes to the funds included in each vintage year grouping will lead to some differences in benchmark sample sizes, available metrics, and "by vintage year" returns.

DISCLAIMER

DISCLAIMER

Our goal is to provide you with the most accurate and relevant performance information possible; as a result, Cambridge Associates' research organization continually monitors the constantly evolving private investments space and its fund managers. When we discern material changes in the structure of an asset class and/or a fund's investment strategy, it is in the interest of all users of our benchmark statistics that we implement the appropriate classification realignments.

In addition, Cambridge Associates is always working to grow our private investments performance database and ensure that our benchmarks are as representative as possible of investors' institutional-quality opportunity set. As a result we continually add funds to the database (both newly-raised funds and backfill funds) and occasionally we must remove funds that cease reporting. Our private investments performance database is dynamic and will reflect both classification adjustments and changes to the underlying pool of contributing funds. As a result, you may notice quarter to quarter changes in the results of some historical benchmark return analyses.

OVERVIEW

Cambridge Associates' Private Investments Database is one of the most robust collections of institutional quality private fund performance. It contains the historical performance records of over 2,300 fund managers and their over 9,200 funds. In addition, we capture the performance information (gross) of over 90,000 investments underlying our venture capital, growth equity, and buyout funds. This is one of the largest collections of fund portfolio investment-level performance information in the world and represents the investments of approximately 73% of these funds on a count basis and 77% on a total commitment basis. This fund and investment-level performance information is drawn from the quarterly and audited annual financial statements of the fund managers and each manager's reported performance numbers are independently recreated from the financial statements and verified by Cambridge Associates.

Institutional Quality Data

Cambridge Associates strives to include only institutional quality funds in our benchmarks. "Institutional quality" funds, in our definition, tend to meet the following criteria: closed-end funds, commingled funds that invest third party capital (we exclude firms that invest off of their balance sheet, such as a bank's principal investing group or a corporate's venture capital arm), and fund vehicles. This institutional quality screen seeks to provide investors with performance data consistent with their investible opportunity set.

Sources of Benchmark Data

Our benchmark database utilizes the quarterly unaudited and annual audited fund financial statements produced by the fund managers (GPs) for their Limited Partners (LPs). These documents are provided to Cambridge Associates by the fund managers themselves. Unlike other data providers, Cambridge Associates does not use Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) or similar requests, regulatory filings, manager surveys, or press "scrapings" to obtain information. Our goal is to have a complete historical record of the quarterly cash flows and net asset values for all funds in the benchmarks. We use a number of paths to encourage fund managers to submit their performance data to our database: our clients for whom we provide private investment performance reporting, our research organization's regular meetings with thousands of managers, our special projects designed to enhance existing benchmarks or launch new ones, our exclusive relationships with over ten globally-diverse fund manager associations, and finally, our strategic relationships with Refinitiv and the Institutional Limited Partners Association (ILPA). By leveraging these varied sources and proprietary relationships, Cambridge Associates has constructed a rich and diversified benchmark dataset.

Vintage Year Definition

Vintage year is defined as the date of the fund's first cash flow, defined as the date of the fund's first LP contribution. Any investment activity taken prior to the first LP contribution is not taken into account.

TIMING OF FINAL BENCHMARKS AND DATA EVOLUTION

The Cambridge Associates' benchmarks are reported on a one-quarter lag from the end of the performance quarter due to the reporting time frame of private investments fund managers.

Published Data: When the vast majority of a benchmark group's (organized by asset class, e.g. Venture Capital or Real Estate) performance information is updated for a performance quarter, that benchmark is considered final and the data is "published" via the quarterly benchmark reports.

Changes to Data: After a benchmark group is published, any updates to historical data for these funds, which can include adding a fund and its performance history to the database ("backfills") and/or updating past information for an existing fund due to late-arriving, updated, or refined information, would be reflected when that group is published for the next performance quarter.

In addition, Cambridge Associates may change the classification of certain funds; this often driven by the evolution of private investments and the resulting need to introduce new benchmarks or refine our classification scheme. For example, as growth equity emerged as an asset class we reclassified certain venture capital and buyout funds accordingly.

Survivorship Bias: In order to track the performance of a fund in our benchmarks, we require the complete set of financial statements from the fund's inception to the most current reporting date. When an active fund stops providing financial statements, we reach out to the manager and make several attempts to encourage them to continue to submit their data. We may, during this communication period, roll forward the fund's last reported quarter's net asset value (NAV) for several quarters. When we are convinced that the manager will not resume reporting to us, the fund's entire performance history is removed from the database.

When fund managers stop reporting before their fund's return history is complete, an element of "survivorship bias" may be introduced to a performance database, which could skew the reported returns upwards if the funds dropping out had poorer returns than those funds that remained. Survivorship bias can affect all investment manager databases, including those for public stock managers and hedge funds. Compared to public stocks and hedge funds, however, the illiquid nature of private investments can actually help limit this survivorship effect. Whereas an underperforming stock manager may simply close up shop or drop out of databases as clients liquidate their positions and fire the manager, private investment partnerships owning illiquid assets continue to exist and require reporting to the limited partners, even if the original manager ceases to exist.

Over the last ten years the number of fund managers that stopped reporting to Cambridge Associates before liquidation represented an average of 0.8% (per year) of the total number of funds in the database during the respective year, and an average of 0.6% (per year) as a percentage of total NAV in the database during that respective year. During that same period the overall number of funds in our database increased by an average of 7% (per year). The performance of the small number of funds that have stopped reporting has been spread amongst all quartiles and has not been concentrated consistently in the poorer performing quartiles.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CRITERIA FOR INCLUSION	6
REAL ASSETS IMPACT INVESTING	
Distribution of Funds by Asset Class, Geography, and Vintage Year	7
Fund Index Analysis	10
Timber	
Real Estate	
Infrastructure	
Fund Since Inception Analysis	18
APPENDICES	
Methodology	20

CRITERIA FOR INCLUSION

Impact investments are defined by their intent to generate a social and/or environmental return in addition to a financial return. The focus of this benchmark is private real assets funds with a social and/or environmental impact objective to allow for a clear aggregation of similarly motivated funds.

A unique feature of impact investments is that not all investment opportunities aim for market rates of risk-adjusted return. However, in the interest of focusing on a relatively uniform set of data, this benchmark restricts itself to those funds that target risk-adjusted market-rate returns.

The Cambridge Associates research team, in partnership with the Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN) research team, identified a list of relevant impact investing funds through existing databases maintained by various credible networks worldwide, including the GIIN's ImpactBase, CA's Mission-Related Investing (MRI) database, and ImpactAssets 50.

The data collected is divided into distinct sectors based on the assets underlying each investment strategy and the associates risk and return profile. Three sectors of focus are currently covered by the real assets impact investing indices: timber, real estate, and infrastructure.

88 qualifying funds had submitted their data for benchmark inclusion as of June 30, 2022, distributed among the three sectors as noted below. Funds are continually added to this benchmark each quarter.

Timber Impact Investing Benchmark: 27 qualifying funds

Real Estate Impact Investing Benchmark: 24 qualifying funds

Infrastructure Impact Investing Benchmark: 37 qualifying funds


Given the limited size of the sample and the overall youth of the funds within the Real Assets Impact Investing Benchmark, it is difficult to draw definitive conclusions on the performance of impact investing funds. Performance will evolve from quarter to quarter, as with any benchmark, with the addition of new funds and the maturation of existing ones. We will monitor the industry by updating this benchmark on a quarterly basis.

AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

DISTRIBUTION OF TIMBER FUNDS

FUND CAPITALIZATION BY GEOGRAPHY


Total Fund Assets = \$8.0B



■ United States ■ Ex US Developed ■ Emerging


FUND COUNT BY VINTAGE YEAR

n = 27



FUND COUNT BY SIZE

n = 27




AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

DISTRIBUTION OF REAL ESTATE FUNDS

FUND CAPITALIZATION BY GEOGRAPHY


Total Fund Assets = \$6.7B



■ United States ■ Ex US Developed ■ Emerging


FUND COUNT BY VINTAGE YEAR

n = 24



FUND COUNT BY SIZE

n = 24




AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

DISTRIBUTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS

FUND CAPITALIZATION BY GEOGRAPHY


Total Fund Assets = \$23.6B



■ United States ■ Ex US Developed ■ Emerging


FUND COUNT BY VINTAGE YEAR

n = 37



FUND COUNT BY SIZE

n = 37



REAL ASSETS IMPACT INVESTING: FUND INDEX ANALYSIS



REAL ASSETS IMPACT INVESTING

AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

REAL ASSETS IMPACT INVESTING

FUND INDEX SUMMARY: HORIZON POOLED RETURN

Net to Limited Partners

INDEX	1-QUARTER	YTD	1-YEAR	3-YEAR	5-YEAR	10-YEAR	15-YEAR
CAMBRIDGE ASSOCIATES LLC TIMBER IMPACT INVESTING INDEX ¹	1.55	3.93	10.75	3.82	2.82	4.53	3.59
CAMBRIDGE ASSOCIATES LLC REAL ESTATE IMPACT INVESTING INDEX ¹	-0.71	5.50	15.28	12.23	8.86	5.54	3.63
CAMBRIDGE ASSOCIATES LLC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPACT INVESTING INDEX ¹	-0.21	-0.96	6.80	8.58	7.12	4.41	4.07

AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

TIMBER IMPACT INVESTING

FUND INDEX DETAILS: ONE QUARTER HORIZON POOLED RETURN

Net to Limited Partners

QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN	QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN	QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN	QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN
2001 Q4	—	2007 Q1	2.15	2012 Q2	-0.15	2017 Q3	0.11
2002 Q1	—	2007 Q2	0.68	2012 Q3	0.47	2017 Q4	1.55
2002 Q2	0.74	2007 Q3	0.91	2012 Q4	7.70	2018 Q1	0.77
2002 Q3	2.28	2007 Q4	10.65	2013 Q1	0.85	2018 Q2	0.96
2002 Q4	1.30	2008 Q1	-0.02	2013 Q2	0.53	2018 Q3	0.29
2003 Q1	-0.17	2008 Q2	0.20	2013 Q3	1.06	2018 Q4	-1.45
2003 Q2	0.95	2008 Q3	-0.30	2013 Q4	5.67	2019 Q1	0.59
2003 Q3	2.60	2008 Q4	3.92	2014 Q1	1.52	2019 Q2	0.17
2003 Q4	0.73	2009 Q1	-1.40	2014 Q2	0.70	2019 Q3	-0.86
2004 Q1	0.58	2009 Q2	-2.01	2014 Q3	0.32	2019 Q4	1.16
2004 Q2	2.83	2009 Q3	-0.04	2014 Q4	6.10	2020 Q1	-1.88
2004 Q3	0.95	2009 Q4	-5.81	2015 Q1	0.05	2020 Q2	-0.34
2004 Q4	1.42	2010 Q1	1.90	2015 Q2	-0.03	2020 Q3	-0.79
2005 Q1	3.46	2010 Q2	3.52	2015 Q3	-1.06	2020 Q4	2.72
2005 Q2	2.05	2010 Q3	0.99	2015 Q4	2.42	2021 Q1	0.80
2005 Q3	0.95	2010 Q4	1.67	2016 Q1	0.01	2021 Q2	1.22
2005 Q4	0.87	2011 Q1	0.76	2016 Q2	0.68	2021 Q3	1.59
2006 Q1	0.90	2011 Q2	-0.39	2016 Q3	0.37	2021 Q4	4.88
2006 Q2	2.65	2011 Q3	-0.53	2016 Q4	0.35	2022 Q1	2.35
2006 Q3	1.02	2011 Q4	-1.69	2017 Q1	0.45	2022 Q2	1.55
2006 Q4	0.24	2012 Q1	0.41	2017 Q2	0.29		



The index is a horizon calculation based on data compiled from 27 timber funds, including fully liquidated partnerships, formed between 1997 and 2019. All returns are net of fees, expenses, and carried interest.

AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

TIMBER IMPACT INVESTING

FUND INDEX DETAILS: HORIZON POOLED RETURN

Net to Limited Partners

MULTI-YEAR RETURNS	
YEARS	HORIZON RETURN (%)
1 Year	10.75
2 Years	7.13
3 Years	3.82
4 Years	2.63
5 Years	2.82
6 Years	2.55
7 Years	2.46
8 Years	3.07
9 Years	3.86
10 Years	4.53
11 Years	3.88
12 Years	3.81
13 Years	3.45
14 Years	3.20
15 Years	3.59

ONE YEAR ROLLING RETURNS	
ONE YEAR ENDED	HORIZON RETURN (%)
6/30/2022	10.75
6/30/2021	3.91
6/30/2020	-1.92
6/30/2019	-0.41
6/30/2018	3.42
6/30/2017	1.47
6/30/2016	2.02
6/30/2015	6.54
6/30/2014	9.16
6/30/2013	9.74
6/30/2012	-1.95
6/30/2011	3.03
6/30/2010	-0.66
6/30/2009	-0.12
6/30/2008	10.77



The index is a horizon calculation based on data compiled from 27 timber funds, including fully liquidated partnerships, formed between 1997 and 2019. All returns are net of fees, expenses, and carried interest.

AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

REAL ESTATE IMPACT INVESTING

FUND INDEX DETAILS: ONE QUARTER HORIZON POOLED RETURN

Net to Limited Partners

QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN
2007 Q4	-0.84
2008 Q1	17.40
2008 Q2	0.13
2008 Q3	-4.29
2008 Q4	-6.98
2009 Q1	-9.33
2009 Q2	0.63
2009 Q3	-7.46
2009 Q4	-6.37
2010 Q1	-3.15
2010 Q2	-5.83
2010 Q3	0.77
2010 Q4	3.47
2011 Q1	-0.68
2011 Q2	1.72

QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN
2011 Q3	-4.49
2011 Q4	3.77
2012 Q1	3.73
2012 Q2	-4.03
2012 Q3	3.86
2012 Q4	12.97
2013 Q1	1.39
2013 Q2	-3.13
2013 Q3	0.14
2013 Q4	6.93
2014 Q1	1.96
2014 Q2	1.33
2014 Q3	-1.30
2014 Q4	-3.68
2015 Q1	1.22

QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN
2015 Q2	-0.90
2015 Q3	0.91
2015 Q4	-1.96
2016 Q1	0.17
2016 Q2	-2.30
2016 Q3	0.67
2016 Q4	-10.65
2017 Q1	2.54
2017 Q2	2.07
2017 Q3	2.46
2017 Q4	1.46
2018 Q1	2.59
2018 Q2	-2.02
2018 Q3	0.54
2018 Q4	0.73

QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN
2019 Q1	0.06
2019 Q2	0.70
2019 Q3	0.57
2019 Q4	2.32
2020 Q1	-1.42
2020 Q2	1.05
2020 Q3	4.31
2020 Q4	3.48
2021 Q1	3.71
2021 Q2	5.84
2021 Q3	7.12
2021 Q4	2.27
2022 Q1	6.42
2022 Q2	-0.71

AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

REAL ESTATE IMPACT INVESTING

FUND INDEX DETAILS: ONE QUARTER HORIZON POOLED RETURN

Net to Limited Partners

MULTI-YEAR RETURNS	
YEARS	HORIZON RETURN (%)
1 Year	15.28
2 Years	16.80
3 Years	12.23
4 Years	9.85
5 Years	8.86
6 Years	6.60
7 Years	5.21
8 Years	3.68
9 Years	4.54
10 Years	5.54
11 Years	5.02
12 Years	5.03
13 Years	4.06
14 Years	3.43
15 Years	3.63

ONE YEAR ROLLING RETURNS	
ONE YEAR ENDED	HORIZON RETURN (%)
6/30/2022	15.28
6/30/2021	18.50
6/30/2020	2.47
6/30/2019	2.04
6/30/2018	4.36
6/30/2017	-5.74
6/30/2016	-2.88
6/30/2015	-4.57
6/30/2014	10.62
6/30/2013	14.36
6/30/2012	-1.01
6/30/2011	5.27
6/30/2010	-20.65
6/30/2009	-18.01
6/30/2008	16.10

AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

INFRASTRUCTURE IMPACT INVESTING

FUND INDEX DETAILS: ONE QUARTER HORIZON POOLED RETURN

Net to Limited Partners

QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN
2006 Q4	-9.44
2007 Q1	-0.39
2007 Q2	-0.50
2007 Q3	2.79
2007 Q4	1.62
2008 Q1	2.80
2008 Q2	-0.21
2008 Q3	-6.31
2008 Q4	-14.52
2009 Q1	-2.83
2009 Q2	-3.55
2009 Q3	0.81
2009 Q4	3.84
2010 Q1	0.10
2010 Q2	1.16
2010 Q3	8.52
2010 Q4	-0.17

QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN
2011 Q1	0.46
2011 Q2	0.72
2011 Q3	-1.39
2011 Q4	3.06
2012 Q1	3.80
2012 Q2	-0.76
2012 Q3	-0.83
2012 Q4	-4.01
2013 Q1	-0.49
2013 Q2	-1.83
2013 Q3	2.01
2013 Q4	3.24
2014 Q1	0.11
2014 Q2	0.20
2014 Q3	1.73
2014 Q4	1.80
2015 Q1	-2.20

QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN
2015 Q2	-0.14
2015 Q3	-5.81
2015 Q4	1.57
2016 Q1	4.39
2016 Q2	-0.07
2016 Q3	1.23
2016 Q4	-1.82
2017 Q1	1.01
2017 Q2	5.27
2017 Q3	2.08
2017 Q4	3.92
2018 Q1	-0.78
2018 Q2	-0.20
2018 Q3	1.61
2018 Q4	2.95
2019 Q1	-0.25
2019 Q2	0.19

QUARTER ENDING	HORIZON RETURN
2019 Q3	1.33
2019 Q4	1.37
2020 Q1	0.45
2020 Q2	2.42
2020 Q3	2.25
2020 Q4	5.58
2021 Q1	-0.01
2021 Q2	4.94
2021 Q3	1.86
2021 Q4	5.83
2022 Q1	-0.75
2022 Q2	-0.21

INFRASTRUCTURE IMPACT INVESTING


FUND INDEX DETAILS: HORIZON POOLED RETURN

Net to Limited Partners

MULTI-YEAR RETURNS	
YEARS	HORIZON RETURN (%)
1 Year	6.80
2 Years	10.21
3 Years	8.58
4 Years	7.56
5 Years	7.12
6 Years	6.90
7 Years	5.93
8 Years	5.39
9 Years	5.40
10 Years	4.41
11 Years	4.44
12 Years	4.72
13 Years	4.76
14 Years	4.05
15 Years	4.07

ONE YEAR ROLLING RETURNS	
ONE YEAR ENDED	HORIZON RETURN (%)
6/30/2022	6.80
6/30/2021	13.22
6/30/2020	5.72
6/30/2019	4.45
6/30/2018	5.30
6/30/2017	5.81
6/30/2016	0.05
6/30/2015	0.98
6/30/2014	5.56
6/30/2013	-7.00
6/30/2012	4.86
6/30/2011	9.48
6/30/2010	5.74
6/30/2009	-22.51
6/30/2008	6.27

REAL ASSETS IMPACT INVESTING: FUND SINCE INCEPTION ANALYSIS



AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

REAL ASSETS IMPACT INVESTING

SINCE INCEPTION IRR & MULTIPLES BY FUND VINTAGE YEAR & ASSET CLASS

Net to Limited Partners

TIMBER IMPACT INVESTING FUNDS											
VINTAGE YEAR	POOLED RETURN (%)	ARITHMETIC MEAN (%)	MEDIAN (%)	EQUAL-WEIGHTED POOLED RETURN (%)	UPPER QUARTILE (%)	LOWER QUARTILE (%)	STANDARD DEVIATION (%)	DPI	RVPI	TVPI	NUMBER OF FUNDS
1997-2001	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2
2002-2004	3.79	3.98	—	3.90	—	—	—	1.22	0.29	1.51	3
2005-2007	3.50	4.02	5.28	3.92	—	—	—	1.06	0.41	1.47	6
2008-2010	3.74	3.64	3.35	4.41	—	—	—	0.60	0.86	1.46	5
2011-2013	5.11	6.31	6.59	5.98	—	—	—	0.50	0.92	1.41	5
2014-2016	3.78	4.33	—	3.85	—	—	—	0.30	0.89	1.19	4
2017-2020	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2
1997-2020	3.92	4.38	4.60	4.68	6.25	2.79	3.23	0.80	0.61	1.41	27
REAL ESTATE IMPACT INVESTING FUNDS											
VINTAGE YEAR	POOLED RETURN (%)	ARITHMETIC MEAN (%)	MEDIAN (%)	EQUAL-WEIGHTED POOLED RETURN (%)	UPPER QUARTILE (%)	LOWER QUARTILE (%)	STANDARD DEVIATION (%)	DPI	RVPI	TVPI	NUMBER OF FUNDS
1997-2001	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0
2002-2004	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0
2005-2007	-8.21	-4.33	—	-0.39	—	—	—	0.52	0.00	0.52	3
2008-2010	6.05	3.95	3.33	2.38	—	—	—	1.10	0.15	1.25	5
2011-2013	0.61	12.05	16.02	10.76	20.10	7.72	11.78	0.66	0.37	1.03	8
2014-2016	7.79	10.92	—	11.46	—	—	—	0.41	1.03	1.43	4
2017-2020	18.37	12.90	—	15.98	—	—	—	0.06	1.37	1.43	4
1997-2020	3.45	8.27	9.21	6.24	15.73	2.08	11.06	0.55	0.63	1.18	24
INFRASTRUCTURE IMPACT INVESTING FUNDS											
VINTAGE YEAR	POOLED RETURN (%)	ARITHMETIC MEAN (%)	MEDIAN (%)	EQUAL-WEIGHTED POOLED RETURN (%)	UPPER QUARTILE (%)	LOWER QUARTILE (%)	STANDARD DEVIATION (%)	DPI	RVPI	TVPI	NUMBER OF FUNDS
1997-2001	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0
2002-2004	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0
2005-2007	-13.64	-15.87	—	-11.13	—	—	—	0.44	0.01	0.45	3
2008-2010	0.45	-2.06	4.08	-0.64	—	—	—	1.00	0.02	1.02	6
2011-2013	6.24	3.08	—	4.33	—	—	—	1.09	0.23	1.32	4
2014-2016	11.73	11.26	10.46	11.75	12.82	7.97	9.27	0.73	0.81	1.54	11
2017-2020	13.57	6.31	9.52	8.61	18.56	2.48	21.78	0.26	1.04	1.30	12
1997-2020	4.00	4.22	6.79	3.82	11.92	0.03	16.97	0.69	0.51	1.21	36

DESCRIPTION OF PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT METHODOLOGY

AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

Cambridge Associates LLC (CA) has established a database to monitor investments made by venture capital and other alternative asset partnerships. On June 30, 2022, 88 real assets impact investing funds from the years 1997 through 2021 were included in the sample. Users of the analysis may find the following description of the data sources and calculation techniques helpful to their interpretation of information presented in the report:

Partnership financial statements and narratives are the primary source of information concerning cash flows and ending residual/ net asset values (NAV) for both partnerships and portfolio company investments.

Recognizing the alternative asset community's sensitivity to the distribution of information pertaining to individual fund investments, as a matter of policy CA only releases aggregated figures in its benchmark report.

Vintage year is defined as the date of the fund's first cash flow, defined as the date of the fund's first LP contribution. Any investment activity taken prior to the first LP contribution is not taken into account.

CA uses both the since inception internal rate of return and the end-to-end or horizon performance calculation in its benchmark reports:

The since inception internal rate of return (SIIRR) is a since inception calculation that solves for the discount rate, which makes the net present value of an investment equal to zero. The calculation is based on cash-on-cash returns over equal periods modified for the residual value of the partnership's equity or portfolio company's NAV. The residual value attributed to each respective group being measured is incorporated as its ending value. Transactions are accounted for on a quarterly basis, and annualized values are used for reporting purposes. Please note that all transactions are recorded on the 45th day or midpoint of the quarter.

Cambridge Associates uses the end-to-end or horizon internal rate of return calculation to calculate the official quarterly, annual, and multi-year index figures. The horizon IRR performance calculation is a money-weighted return similar to the since inception IRR; however, it is measuring performance between two points in time. The calculation incorporates the beginning NAV (if any, treated as an inflow), interim cash flows and the ending NAV (if any, treated as an outflow). All interim cash flows are recorded on the mid-period date of the quarter. In order for a fund to be included in a horizon IRR calculation, the fund must have at least one quarterly contribution, distribution or NAV during the time frame being measured. Similar to the since inception IRR, the horizon IRR is annualized for time frames greater than one year.

DESCRIPTION OF PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT METHODOLOGY

AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

Additional Calculation Definitions:

In order to provide meaningful statistics, Cambridge Associates has applied minimum fund count thresholds for each calculation. See minimum counts in parenthesis after each calculation.

Pooled return aggregates all cash flows and ending NAVs in a sample to calculate a dollar-weighted return. (minimum 3 funds)

Arithmetic mean averages the individual fund IRRs included in a vintage year. (minimum 3 funds)

Median is the middle fund IRR of the group of individual fund IRRs included in a vintage year. (minimum 5 funds)

Equal-weighted pooled return equally weights all cash flows and ending NAVs based on committed capital to calculate a dollar-weighted return. (minimum 3 funds)

Upper/ lower quartile are the thresholds for the upper (top 25%) and lower (bottom 25%) quartiles based on the individual fund IRRs included in a vintage year. Can be used in conjunction with the median to determine quartile placement. (minimum 8 funds)

Top 5 percent/ bottom 5 percent are the thresholds for the upper and lower 5th percentiles based on the individual fund IRRs included in a vintage year. (minimum 8 funds)

Standard deviation is a measure of the dispersion of the individual returns. The calculation employs the standard methodology for calculating a sample mean (not a population mean). (minimum 8 funds)

Total Value to Paid-In Ratio (TVPI) is a ratio of the current value of remaining investments within a fund plus the total value of all distributions to date, to the total amount of capital paid-in to date.

Distribution to Paid-In Ratio (DPI) is a ratio of total capital returned to investors to the capital paid-in, both to date.

Residual Value to Paid-In Ratio (RVPI) is a ratio of the current value of all remaining investments within a fund, to the total contributions to date.



Copyright © 2022 by Cambridge Associates (“CA”). All rights reserved. Confidential.

This report may not be displayed, reproduced, distributed, transmitted, or used to create derivative works in any form, in whole or in portion, by any means, without written permission from CA. Copying of this publication is a violation of US and global copyright laws (e.g., 17 USC. 101 et seq.). Violators of this copyright may be subject to liability for substantial monetary damages. The information and material published in this report are confidential and non-transferable. Therefore, recipients may not disclose any information or material derived from this report to third parties, or use information or material from this report, without prior written authorization. For the avoidance of doubt, this report is not for enterprise use and may not be used to create financial instruments or products. This report is provided for informational purposes only. It is not intended to constitute an offer of securities of any of the issuers that may be described in the report. No part of this report is intended as a recommendation of any firm or any security, unless expressly stated otherwise. Nothing contained in this report should be construed as the provision of any tax or legal advice. Past performance is not indicative of future performance. Any information or opinions provided in this report are provided as-is as of the date of the report, and CA is under no obligation to update the information or communicate that any updates have been made. Information contained herein may have been provided by third parties, including investment firms providing information on returns and assets under management, and CA takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof. Managers may report returns to CA gross (before the deduction of management fees), net (after the deduction of management fees), or both.

CA includes the following: Cambridge Associates, LLC, a Massachusetts limited liability company with offices in Arlington, VA; Boston, MA; Dallas, TX; Menlo Park, CA; San Francisco, CA; and New York, NY. Cambridge Associates Limited, a limited company in England and Wales (Registration No. 06135829) authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority in the conduct of Investment Business. Cambridge Associates Limited, LLC, a Massachusetts limited liability company with a branch office in Sydney, Australia (ARBN 109 366 654). Cambridge Associates Asia Pte Ltd, a Singapore corporation (Registration No. 200101063G). Cambridge Associates Investment Consultancy (Beijing) Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of Cambridge Associates, LLC registered with the Beijing Administration for Industry and Commerce (Registration No. 110000450174972). Cambridge Associates GmbH, a limited liability company incorporated in the Federal Republic of Germany registered at the commercial register at the local court (Amtsgericht) of Munich under company number HRB 253119.